IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 24TH JULY 2007 / 2ND SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1098 of 2007(S)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.559/2006 Dated 11/01/2006

.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN WP(C):

S. BABU, AGED 39 YEARS,
S/O. N. SEKHARA KURUP, TEMPORARY STATUS ATTAINED CASUAL LABOURER, O/O. THE SUB DIVISIONAL ENGINEER, TELECOM, BSNL, ATTINGAL, RESIDING AT 'VILAYIL-PUTHENVEEDU', CHERUMANOORKONAM, KARICHAYIL, ATTINGAL P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.M.A.SHAFIK

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS 1 TO 3 IN WP(C):

---

1. SRI.D.S. MATHUR,
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS, MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, NEW DELHI.

2. SRI. K.S.SREENIVASAN,
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER, TELECOM, BSNL,KERALA CIRCLE TRIVANDRUM.

3. SRI.GEORGE T.MATHAI,
AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER, PRINCIPAL GENERAL MANAGER, TELECOM, BSNL, TRIVANDRUM SSA, TRIVANDRUM.

BY ADV. SRI. K. KESAVANKUTTY, STANDING COUNSEL.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24/07/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

C.C.C No. 1098 OF 2007

Dated this the 24th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Standing Counsel takes notice on behalf of the respondents. Heard both sides.

Though, I feel that there is some truth in the grievance of the petitioner that the respondents were very lethargic, I do not think that there is justification for initiating action under the Contempt jurisdiction against the respondents. I close the Contempt Case, however, directing the respondents to do the needful on the basis of Exts.P2 and P4 at the earliest and at any rate within three months of receiving copy of this judgment.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt